1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Plaintiff,	
6	V.	No. 3:25-cr-00033-SLG-KFR
7	JUSTIN ABBOTT FACEY,	
8	Defendant.	
9		
10	CRIMINAL DISCOVERY MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ORDER	
11	I. Arraignment	
12	A. Exclusion of Time under the Speedy Trial Act. The Court arraigned Defendant on	
13	May 22, 2025. Defendant filed a Right to Speedy Trial Act Waiver/FSA	
14	Acknowledgement & Waiver, excluding time until the Trial Scheduling Conference on	
15	July 18, 2025 for attorney preparation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A)(i) and (iv).	
16	B. Waiver of Appearance. At the arraignment, the Court advised Defendant of their right	
17	to waive personal appearance at all non-essential proceedings, including the Discovery	
18	Management Conference. Defendant:	
19	1. □ waived	
20	2. ⊠ did not waive	
21	their personal appearances at th	e Discovery Management Conference.
22	II. Meeting of the Parties.	
23	Based upon information provided to the Court by parties at the Discovery Management	
24	Conference held on June 26, 2025, this order regulating the production of discovery is entered	
25	pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d) and 16.1(b).	
26	This order may be modified only for good cause shown and with the consent of the	
27	Court. See Fed. R. Crim. P. Rule 16(d)(1). Failure to comply with the discovery rules may	
28	result in a continuance, the withholding of	evidence, or any other order that is just under the

## 1 circumstances. Id. at (2) 2 III.Discovery Plan 3 A. Timing, Form, and Disclosure Requirements. Discovery shall be conducted in 4 accordance with Rules 16 and 16.1; any applicable Local Civil Rules; Brady v. Maryland, 5 373 U.S. 83 (1963); Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); 18 U.S.C. § 3500, et. seq. (the Jencks Act); and the discovery plan specified in the Discovery Conference 6 7 Certification, if filed, and Discovery Management Conference, if held, except as otherwise 8 provided below. Any changes to the parties' discovery obligations that the Court has 9 approved are incorporated in the following paragraphs. Each party must comply with 10 their discovery obligations and maintain a written record of all disclosures and 11 supplementation of disclosures or responses made to requests for discovery. Unless 12 required in support of a motion or by order of the Court, disclosures and supplemental 13 disclosures are not to be filed with the Court. 14 B. Initial Disclosures. 15 1. The parties: 16 $\boxtimes$ have 17 П h. have not 18 met and conferred in accordance with the Court's order. 19 2. The United States 20 $\boxtimes$ has 21 has not 22 provided to Defendant a list of "hot docs" relevant to the charges in this case. The 23 United States has not conducted a reverse proffer. 24 3. A Motion for a Protective Order: 25 has been filed in this case. 26 has been filed by the government and is pending before the Court. 27 is not necessary at this time in this case. 28 // Order - Discovery Management

1	4. The non-expert information required to be produced by the government pursuant to	
2	Rule 16(a)(1), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.	
3	a.   The following discovery has been disclosed by the government:	
4	i. 1,349 Bates stamped items, including financial information,	
5	law enforcement reports, search warrant documentation and	
6	photos. In addition, items identified as part of the filter-review	
7	process have been disclosed to Defense Counsel.	
8	b.   The following discovery has not been disclosed:	
9	i. Cell phone extractions and physical records related to	
10	Defendant's law practice. The cell phone recovered from	
11	Defendant and one other individual are being reviewed	
12	pursuant to the filter protocol put in place by the United States	
13	to identify privileged information. Non-privileged information	
14	is being provided to the United States from the filter team on a	
15	rolling basis and is then being provided to the Defendant. In	
16	addition, physical records seized from Defendant are being	
17	reviewed by the filter team and non-privileged materials shall	
18	be disclosed when they are available.	
19	The Court directed the United States to continue with its filter team review and to	
20	provide materials as quickly as they become available.	
21	5. The non-expert information required to be produced by the defense pursuant to	
22	Rule 16(b)(1), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure:	
23	a. □ has been disclosed by the defense.	
24	b. $\square$ shall be provided to the government on or before .	
25	c.   There is no defense discovery to be produced at this time.	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	
	Order – Discovery Management 3 United States v. Facey	

1	6. Expert witness disclosures required to be produced by both parties pursuant to Rule	
2	16(a)(1)(G) and (b)(1)(C):	
3	a.   have been disclosed.	
4	b. 🛛 shall be provided in accordance with the District Court's Trial	
5	Scheduling Order.	
6	7. Exculpatory and Impeachment Materials currently in the United States' possession:	
7	a. 🛛 have been disclosed by the government.	
8	b. $\square$ shall be provided on or before.	
9	8. Jencks Act Materials. <sup>1</sup> The parties are <u>strongly</u> encouraged to provide reciprocal	
10	Jencks Act disclosures sufficiently early to permit effective preparation by the	
11	receiving party. The exception is when the Jencks material reveals the identity and	
12	statements of confidential informants and witnesses who would be placed in danger	
13	by the disclosure. When an articulable safety concern exists, the Government shall	
14	notify opposing counsel and the Court that production of that witness statement will	
15	be delayed until shortly before trial or after the witness testifies. Jencks Act materials:	
16	a. 🛛 have not yet been provided by the government.	
17	b. $\square$ for some or all witnesses will not be provided prior to trial due to the	
18	government's concerns for witness safety.	
19	The government indicated that it did anticipate disclosing Jencks material prior to	
20	trial. The Court advised the government that it should inform the Court and Defense	
21	Counsel prior to trial if it identified any Jencks materials it intended to withhold until	
22	after a witness testified. Any delay providing Jencks material may result in a	
23	continuance.	
24	//	
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> While included in this Order, this provision only encourages the early production of Jencks material consistent with the government's general practice in this District. The Court recognizes that it cannot compel	
27 28	early production of Jencks materials and that there can be no violation of the Jencks Act until after a witness testifies. <i>See United States v. Taylor</i> , 802 F.2d 1108, 1118 (9th Cir. 1986); <i>see also United States v. Arboleda</i> , 929 F.2d 858 (1st Cir. 1991).	
	Order – Discovery Management 4  United States v. Facey  Case 3.253 GL00038-TMB-KFR Document 23 Filed 06/27/25 Page 4 of 6	

1	
2	C. Access to Electronically-Produced Discovery, Including Electronically Stored
3	Information (ESI).
4	3. No issues have been reported relating to access to electronically-produced
5	discovery.
6	4.   The parties have identified issues relating to access to electronically-produced
7	discovery.
8	D. Claims of Privilege or Protection of Attorney Work Product. Claims of privilege or
9	protection of trial preparation materials shall be handled as follows:
10	1.   There is no indication that this will be an issue. The United States has put a
11	filter team in place to review seized materials.
12	2.   The parties have entered a Protective Order regulating the review and
13	disclosure of privileged and protected materials.
14	3.   The parties shall submit their proposed Protective Order regulating the review
15	and disclosure of privileged and protected materials on or before.
16	E. Limitations on Discovery.
17	The following limitations on discovery are imposed:
18	1.   There are no limitations on discovery at this time except as described herein.
19	2. □ Other limitations as follows:
20	F. Ongoing Discovery Management Conferences.
21	The parties:
22	1. □ request
23	2. ⊠ do not request
24	ongoing discovery management conferences to be held in this matter. (If the parties
25	request ongoing Discovery Management Conferences, the court will schedule those
26	conferences in accordance with the parties' request or as deemed necessary by the Court.)
27	//
28	
	Order – Discovery Management 5 <i>United States v. Facey</i> Cଥିକ 13.225 <sup>3</sup> ଖି <mark>-06038-TMB-KFR Document 23 Filed 06/27/25 Page 5 of 6</mark>

## IV. Other Provisions.

## A. Discovery Disputes.

No later than five (5) business days after the production of discovery, the receiving party for discovery shall notify the producing party of any problems relating to the materials produced, to include any issues the party has accessing the data produced. The notice shall be in writing.

If the parties have been unable to resolve any disagreements about the timing of production, the materials to be produced, or access issues, the complaining party shall timely file a motion to compel and request for a hearing. The motion shall include information about steps the complaining party has taken to resolve the issue prior to filing. The Court will consider only matters which the parties have previously discussed and been unable to resolve, or matters raised sua sponte by the Court.

## B. Ongoing Discovery Obligation.

DATED this 26th of June 2025, at Anchorage ALSKAIS

The parties shall adhere to the continuing duty to supplement or correct their disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G)(vi) and (b)(1)(C)(vi).

IT IS SO ORDERED.